Formation of Low Acetyl Content Cellulose Acetate Membrane for CO$_2$/N$_2$ Separation
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ABSTRACT: The rising carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emissions over the decades is known to be a huge contributor to the greenhouse effect. CO$_2$ capture and storage methods have been perceived as favourable solutions to prevent global warming and climate change rising from the greenhouse effect. One energy efficient technology for separating CO$_2$ is the development of high-performing CO$_2$ gas separating membranes. A variety of membrane-based gas separation technologies designed have shown promising results and are inexpensive. A high-performing membrane must have a defect-free, thin dense skin-layer with a porous sub-layer for support that permits great permeation rate and selectivity. Of all ranges of polymers used to make polymeric membranes for CO$_2$/nitrogen (N$_2$) separation, cellulose acetate (CA) polymer membranes are known for their high CO$_2$ solubility. In this research, the effect of CA polymer concentrations at low acetyl group of 39.8% and casting thickness on the structure and morphologies of the membranes were studied. The CA polymer concentration was optimised from a range of 10 wt%, 15 wt% and 20 wt%, and the casting thickness was optimised from a range of 150 μm to 400 μm using wet-phase inversion technique. The results obtained exhibit a CA membrane with thin dense, selective skin-layer achieved at CA polymer concentration of 15 wt% and a casting thickness of 300 μm, with a CO$_2$ permeance rate of 401.173 ± 0.579 (GPU), N$_2$ permeance rate of 133.499 ± 0.148 (GPU) and selectivity of 3.009 ± 0.00656. This CA membrane is able to contribute to promising gas separation performances with enhanced physical and mechanical support by improvement of membrane permeance and selectivity towards CO$_2$/N$_2$ separation performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is the largest cause to global warming (60%) amongst other greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as water vapour (H$_2$O), methane (CH$_4$) and ozone.$^1$ This is due to their longer atmospheric life span.$^2$ It has been reported that atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration has risen from 275 ppm to 387 ppm since the last century, which has led to increases in global temperatures.$^3$ Compared with oil and gas, the least costly method to produce electricity is coal and it is predicted that global CO$_2$ emissions from coal combustion will rise from 9Gt/year in 2000 to 32G t/year in 2050.$^4$ Separation of CO$_2$ from flue gas at low partial pressures and low temperatures, after the fuel is completely combusted for energy conversion is known as post-combustion capture. The gas stream feeds at atmospheric pressure with low CO$_2$ concentration (5%–25% is air used for combustion).$^5$

Polymer membrane systems are highly encouraged in post-combustion capture of separating CO$_2$ from flue gas by a modelling study of polymeric membranes in gas turbine power plants. One example of polymer used in CO$_2$/N$_2$ separations is cellulose acetate (CA), which amongst all other polymers used for the same purpose, has high CO$_2$ solubility.$^6$–$^8$ The most desired membrane morphology in gas separating polymeric membranes is the asymmetric membrane with a thin dense skin layer. One variable that affects this is polymer concentration in the casting dope. According to Rajesh et.al, higher polymer concentrations can result in smooth dense top layer while lower polymer concentrations can result in an increase of the pore size.$^9$ In addition, increasing polymer concentration might increase the casting dope viscosity which can result in decrease of pore size hence decreasing membrane porosity.$^{10}$ Moreover, an increase in CA polymer concentration means higher acetyl content in the casting solution, thus the exhibition of plastic behaviour in the membranes, which can disrupt permeance and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity.$^{11}$

Another factor that can influence the membrane performance and structure is the casting thickness. A membrane needs a dense skin layer to build pressure, though overall dense skin layer thickness can restrict gas permeation. Based on Ahmad et al., when the casting thickness increased, the dense skin layer gets thicker and gas permeation reduced, but thinner membranes also results in lower mechanical strength and affects efficiency of gas separation.$^{12}$ Other investigations also found that pore size increases with the membrane thickness while, selectivity decreases with pore size. This shows that to keep high selectivity, manufacturers must have stringent control over the membrane pore diameter.$^{13}$
Thus, this research aims to develop a thin, defect-free asymmetric membrane with dense skin layer using CA polymer with 39.8% acetyl group. Up to date, there are no studies on the influence of CA polymer concentration (10–20 wt%) and the casting thickness (150–400 μm) on the CO₂ permeance and CO₂/N₂ selectivity.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Materials

CA with acetyl content of 38%–39.8% was acquired from Sigma Aldrich (Malaysia). Acetic acid (CH₃COOH) ACS reagent ≥ 99.7% was supplied from Sigma Aldrich (Malaysia). Ethanol and n-Hexane obtained from Merck (Malaysia). Purified CO₂ and N₂ were acquired from Linde EOX Sdn Bhd (Malaysia).

2.2 Preparation of CA Membrane (CA-M)

The casting solution was prepared by mixing 10 wt% CA, 63 wt% CH₃COOH and 27 wt% of deionised water. The mixing was done by stirring the solution for 3 h with a magnetic stirrer at temperature of 55°C for complete dissolving and uniform mixing. The solution was then kept into 100 ml Duran bottle and left to cool at room temperatures of 27°C for a 24 h period. The ultrasonic degasser was then used to eliminate existing gas bubbles in a 20 min duration after the cooling period was completed.

The membrane was cast using an automatic film applicator situated in a fume chamber at room temperatures with casting thickness of 250 μm. After the film was formed, the membrane was directly immersed into a coagulation bath of deionised water for 24 h to remove excess solvent content. Membrane drying was then conducted using the solvent exchange method by immersing the span membrane in ethanol solution for 4 h followed by n-hexane solution for 1 h. Lastly, the membrane film was placed in between two glass plates for a period of 24 h prior to use.

2.3 Effect of Polymer Concentration

To determine the influence of polymer concentration on the membrane performance, different CA polymer concentrations (10–20 wt%) were used as tabulated in Table 1.
Table 1: Compositions of membrane prepared at different CA polymer concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane sample</th>
<th>CA (wt%)</th>
<th>CH₃COOH (wt%)</th>
<th>H₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>Acetyl content (wt%)</th>
<th>Casting thickness (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Effect of Casting Thickness

In this section, membranes were prepared at casting thickness of 150 µm (M4), 250 µm (M2), 300 µm (M5) and 400 µm (M6) as demonstrated in Table 2.

Table 2: Compositions of membrane prepared at different casting thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane sample</th>
<th>CA (wt%)</th>
<th>CH₃COOH (wt%)</th>
<th>H₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>Acetyl content (wt%)</th>
<th>Casting thickness (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5 Membrane Performance

The membranes were tested based on a previously published work.¹⁴

2.6 Membrane Characterisation Methods

2.6.1 SEM

Scanning electron microscopy (TM3000 Tabletop SEM, USM-MTDC) was used to study the cast membrane morphology. The membrane samples were frozen at −80°C to ensure an un-deformed structure when fractured into small pieces during membrane cracking. The small fractured pieces were platinum sputter-coated to limit sample charging and produce contradicting images. A double-sided conductive adhesive tape was used to hold the sample at the stainless-steel holder. Different zooming rates and high-resolution electrographs were used to obtain the surface morphology of the membranes. The thickness of the membrane structure and top skin layer were measured with ImageJ software (1.32j, United States). At least five samples were used to measure the dense skin layer.
2.6.2 Viscosity of casting solution

The viscosity of the casting solution was tested using a viscometer (BROOKFIELD Viscometer, Mecomb Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.). The average viscosity was calculated from three samples and the standard errors were determined.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Polymer Concentration

3.1.1 Characterisation of membrane

SEM was utilised to characterise the surface structure and cross-sectional morphologies of CA membranes at polymer concentration of 10 wt% (M1), 15 wt% (M2) and 20 wt% (M3).

Based on Figure 1(a and b), a porous structure was observed on the surface of M1. The cross-sectional morphology also exhibits a dense skin-layer with a thickness of 27.28 µm. At a lower CA polymer concentration, water can quickly diffuse into the casting solution due to low polymer concentration, low viscosity and easy phase-separation.16 Figure 1(c and d) shows a smooth membrane surface on M2. A dense skin-layer is also observed in the cross-sectional morphology, with a thickness of 12.81 µm. When the polymer concentration was increased to 15 wt% (M2), the viscosity of the casting dope was enhanced, which led to the formation of a thinner and denser skin layer. This is because the viscosity of the casting dope is higher than of M1, which increased the precipitation rate and caused a faster phase-separation at the skin-layer.17 Figure 1(e and f) exhibits a smooth membrane surface on M3, with a skin-layer of 0.56 µm. It possesses the densest and thinnest skin-layer compared with M1 and M2. This resulted from the higher polymer concentration that increased the viscosity of the casting dope, which led to a formation of a denser membrane. When increasing the polymer concentration to 20 wt% (M3), the viscosity of the casting solution increased, thereby limiting the diffusion exchange rate of solvent and non-solvent, as tabulated in Table 3. This resulted in immediate phase separation at the skin-layer and slowed the precipitation rate which reduced the pore size in the membrane.17 Besides that, the higher polymer concentration caused the polymer chains to entangle at the top skin-layer, thus reducing the formation of macrovoids and producing a thinner, smoother skin-layer.17
Table 3: Viscosities of CA membrane casting dope.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>CA polymer concentration in casting dope (wt%)</th>
<th>Viscosity (cP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>91.735 ± 0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>282.033 ± 0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>571.68 ± 2.872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: SEM micrographs of membrane surface and cross-sectional structure of membrane fabricated at CA polymer concentration of (a and b) 10 wt%, M1; (c and d) 15 wt%, M2; and (d and e) 20 wt%, M3; at casting thickness of 250 µm.
3.1.2 CO$_2$/N$_2$ Separation Performance

The membrane performance for gas separation was evaluated by conducting single gas permeation test for M1 (10 wt%), M2 (15 wt%) and M3 (20 wt%). Figure 2 illustrates that M1 has the highest CO$_2$ permeance, which is around 304.249 ± 1.955 GPU. This was due to the porous structure of the membrane at low CA polymer concentration (10 wt%) as seen in Figure 1(a) that allows higher gas permeance. Meanwhile, the CO$_2$ permeance of M2 and M3 are 253.773 ± 0.773 GPU and 8.735 ± 0.00271 GPU, respectively. As a result of the increment in the polymer concentration, the phase-separation at the skin-layer produced faster and a denser skin-layer formed. 17 This agglomeration of polymer at higher polymer concentrations of CA at 20 wt% (M3) might reduce the formation of a porous support structure that provides the membrane mechanical strength. Thus, M3 was also able to only build-up pressure to 2.0 bar. Therefore, higher polymer concentrations produce membranes with lower permeance. 18

![Figure 2: Single-gas permeance of CO$_2$ for CA membranes at CA polymer concentrations of 10 wt% (M1), 15 wt% (M2) and 20 wt% (M3) at casting thickness of 250 µm.](image)

The membranes were also subjected to N$_2$ gas permeation test. Based on Figure 3, M1 (10 wt%) possesses the highest N$_2$ permeance rate of 194.463 ± 0.667 GPU, followed by M2 (15 wt%) at a rate of 92.139 ± 0.0844 GPU and the lowest is M3 (20 wt%) at 43.654 ± 0.129 GPU. Even though M1 has a thicker skin-layer (27.28 µm) compared with M2 (12.81 µm), N$_2$ permeance has less resistance.
in M1. This is due to the porous structure of M1 as seen in Figure 1(a) which justifies the increase in gas permeation rate. When the polymer concentration increased to 15 wt% (M2), a denser skin layer was synthesised, which reduced the N₂ permeance rate. Despite having the thinnest skin-layer of 0.56 µm, M3 had the lowest N₂ permeance rate. Due to agglomeration of polymer chains at higher polymer concentrations, M3 (20 wt%) possessed least porosity formation within the membrane that hinders N₂ permeance rate.18

![Graph showing single-gas permeance of N₂ for CA membranes at various polymer concentrations.]

Figure 3: Single-gas permeance of N₂ for CA membranes at CA polymer concentrations of 10 wt% (M1), 15 wt% (M2) and 20 wt% (M3) at casting thickness of 250 µm.

The CO₂/N₂ selectivity of CA membranes prepared at various polymer concentrations was studied as illustrated in Figure 4. This figure demonstrates that CA polymer concentration of 15 wt% (M2) has the highest selectivity performance, which is 2.761 ± 0.00375. M2 possessed a smooth, selective dense skin-layer morphology, shown in Figure 1(c and d), which increased the CO₂/N₂ selectivity. Meanwhile, the selectivity performance of M1 is 1.1562 ± 0.0312 and the lowest is M3 0.199 ± 0.000498. At lower polymer concentration of 10 wt% (M1), the membrane produced a porous structure, which increased the N₂ permeance and decreased the effectiveness of the selective skin-layer, thus reducing the CO₂/N₂ selectivity. When the polymer concentration was increased to 20 wt% (M3), the membrane produced a smooth and thinnest skin-layer with low porosity, shown in Figure 1(f), thus reducing the effectiveness of the selective skin-layer and resulting in a poor gas-separation performance.
3.2 Effect of Casting Thickness

3.2.1 Characterisation of membrane

The morphologies of membrane casted at different casting thickness of 150 µm (M4), 250 µm (M2), 300 µm (M5) and 400 µm (M6) at the optimised CA polymer concentration of 15 wt% are presented in Figure 5.

Figure 5 demonstrates smooth membrane surfaces, with dense skin-layer thickness of 10.39 µm, 12.81 µm and 13.48 µm for M4, M2 and M5, respectively. As the casting thickness increases, the deposition speed of the polymer in the film reduced and more layers participated in the formation of the membrane.\textsuperscript{18} There is also delayed kinetics in phase separation that allows the merging of domains that are polymer-lean below the membrane and air interface, thus resulting in more compaction of the polymer matrix.\textsuperscript{14,18} As seen in Figure 5(g), M6 (400 µm) possesses a porous surface and has a dense skin-layer at thickness of 19.72 µm. This porous structure is formed due to the diffusion and displacement between the solvent and non-solvent regions in the casting film. The polymer deposition speed was slower due to more layers involved in the membrane formation. Thus, large amounts of water can diffuse into the polymer matrix and form larger pores in the skin layer.\textsuperscript{18}
Figure 5: SEM micrographs of membrane surface and cross-sectional structure of CA membrane fabricated at casting thickness of (a and b) 150 µm, M4; (c and d) 250 µm, M2; (e and f) 300 µm, M5; and (g and h) 400 µm, M6.
3.2.2 CO₂/N₂ Separation Performance

The CO₂ permeation of M2 (250 µm), M4 (150 µm), M5 (300 µm) and M6 (400 µm) are demonstrated in Figure 6. Based on Figure 6, M4 (150 µm) has the highest CO₂ permeance, which is 428.541 ± 0.974 GPU. Meanwhile, the CO₂ permeance of M2 (250 µm), M5 (300 µm) and M6 (400 µm) are 253.773 ± 0.773 GPU, 401.173 ± 0.579 GPU and 11.895 ± 0.00665 GPU, respectively. These results are in line with the formation of the dense skin layer of the membrane, shown in Figure 5(b, d, f and h).

![Figure 6: Single-gas permeance of CO₂ for CA membranes at casting thickness of 150 µm (M4), 250 µm (M2), 300 µm (M5) and 400 µm (M6) with CA polymer concentration of 15 wt%.

The membranes were also subjected to N₂ gas permeation test as seen in Figure 7. It was observed that M4 (150 µm) demonstrated the highest N₂ permeance rate of 363.910 ± 0.258 GPU, followed by M5 (300 µm) at a rate of 133.499 ± 0.148 GPU, M2 (250 µm) at 92.139 ± 0.0844 GPU and the lowest, M6 (400 µm) at 27.332 ± 0.0757 GPU. At lower casting thickness of 150 µm (M4), the membrane formed a thin selective skin layer with thickness of 10.39 µm, thus resulting in higher gas permeance. As the casting thickness increased to 250 µm (M2) and 300 µm (M5), N₂ permeance decreased. This is because as the thickness of the selective layer increased in M2 to 12.82 µm and M5 to 13.48 µm, the gas permeance decreased. When the casting thickness is increased to 400 µm
(M6), more layers are involved and produced a thicker skin-layer which hinders gas permeance. Thus, increasing casting thickness would increase the thickness of dense skin-layer but decreases the gas permeance rate.\textsuperscript{14}

![Graph showing N₂ permeance for CA membranes at different casting thicknesses](image)

**Figure 7:** Single-gas permeance of N₂ for CA membranes at casting thickness of 150 µm (M4), 250 µm (M2), 300 µm (M5) and 400 µm (M6) with CA polymer concentration of 15 wt%.

Based on Figure 8, CA membranes prepared with casting thickness of 300 µm (M5) proved the best CO₂/N₂ selectivity performance of 3.009 ± 0.00656 as compared to M4 (150 µm), M2 (250 µm) and M6 (400 µm) with CO₂/N₂ selectivity of 1.179 ± 0.00250, 2.761 ± 0.00375 and 0.435 ± 0.00134, respectively. This was due to the homogeneous distribution of the finger-like macrovoids in M5 (300 µm), as shown in Figure 6(h). After this casting thickness, defects formed in these macrovoids (M6, Figure 6(h)), resulting in poor separation performance. In conclusion, M5 (300 µm) has the best CO₂/N₂ selectivity separation performance amongst all the CA membranes prepared. Hence, the optimised casting thickness is 300 µm.
4. CONCLUSION

In this research project, the CO₂/N₂ separation performance proved that CA polymer concentration and membrane casting thickness affects the physical structure, permeance and selectivity of the CA membrane. The optimal CA polymer concentration was found to be 15 wt% (M2), which exhibited a CO₂ permeance rate of 253.773 ± 0.276 (GPU) and N₂ permeance rate of 92.139 ± 0.0844 (GPU). This resulted in highest CO₂/N₂ selectivity of 2.761 ± 0.00375. M2 was then used to study the membrane casting thickness performances. The optimal casting thickness was found to be at 300 µm (M5). M5 demonstrated a CO₂ permeance rate of 401.173 ± 0.579 (GPU) and N₂ permeance rate of 133.499 ± 0.148 (GPU). This resulted in the highest CO₂/N₂ selectivity of 3.009 ± 0.00656. Based on the results obtained, the best membrane was formed with a smooth surface, dense selective skin layer and a porous bottom layer that provided mechanical strength, which gave the desired CO₂/N₂ separation.
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